#### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

### IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

#### **DIVISION SIX**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RONALD GIFFORD,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B227401 (Super. Ct. No. 1348419) (Santa Barbara County)

Ronald Gifford appeals an order of the trial court determining that he is mentally incompetent to stand trial. (Pen. Code, § 1367 et seq.<sup>1</sup>; *People v. Fields* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 538, 540.)

On June 15, 2010, the prosecutor charged Gifford by felony complaint with attempted robbery (count 1), petty theft with a prior theft conviction (count 2), and being under the influence of narcotics (count 3). (§§ 211, 664, 484, subd. (a), 666; Health & Saf. Code, § 11550, subd. (a).) At the preliminary examination, the trial court declared a doubt regarding Gifford's competence. The court ordered psychological evaluations performed and it suspended criminal proceedings against Gifford. (§ 1370, subd. (a)(1)(B).) On July 29, 2010, based upon the opinions of the appointed psychologists, the court concluded that Gifford was mentally incompetent within the meaning of section

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless stated otherwise.

1367, subdivision (a). It ordered that criminal proceedings remain suspended and that Gifford be committed for mental health treatment.

We appointed counsel to represent Gifford in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On December 13, 2010, we advised Gifford that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response from him.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Gifford's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P.J.

We concur:

COFFEE, J.

PERREN, J.

## Edward H. Bullard, Judge

# Superior Court County of Santa Barbara

\_\_\_\_\_

Richard B. Lennon, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.